SUMMARY OF FINAL DECISIONS ISSUED BY THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD August 26-30, 2002

Date Issued	Type of Case(1)	Proceeding or Appn. No.	Party or Parties	TTAB Panel(2)	Issue	TTAB Decision	Opposer's or Petitioner's Mark and Goods or Services	Applicant's or Respondent's Mark and Goods or Services	Mark and Goods Cited by Examining Attorney	Examining Attorney	Citable as Precedent of TTAB
8-28	EX	75/482,561	Cyber- Financial. Net, Inc.	Quinn* Bucher Bottorff	genericness [whether applicant's mark is registrable on the Supplemental Register]	Refusal Affirmed	Scrives	"BONDS.COM" [providing information regarding financial products and services via a global computer network and providing electronic commerce services via a global computer network, namely, investment research, subscription services, market commentary, portfolio analysis, debt instrument conversion, yield performance, and pricing analysis, with respect to taxable and tax exempt debt instruments, and other related investment products and services, namely, investment securities]		Glembocki	Yes
8-29	OPP	112,743	Lucasfilm Ltd. and Lucas Licensing, Inc. v. Mark Rose	Simms Hairston* Holtzman	2(d)	Opposition Sustained	"STAR WARS" [motion pictures and other goods, including equipment sold as a unit for playing a board game]; "MAY THE FORCE BE WITH YOU" [motion pictures]; "THE FORCE" [motion pictures and a variety of toys and games, including card games]	"THE FORCE" [equipment used to play a card game]			No
8-29	EX	75/934,901	TRM Corp.	Simms Bucher Rogers*	2(e)(1)	Refusal Affirmed		"IATMNETWORK" [automated teller machine services]		Alt	No

⁽¹⁾ EX=Ex Parte Appeal; OPP=Opposition; CANC=Cancellation; CU=Concurrent Use; (SJ)=Summary Judgment; (MD)=Motion to Dismiss; (MR)=Motion to Reopen; (R)=Request for Reconsideration

^{(2) *=}Opinion Writer; (D)=Dissenting Panel Member

SUMMARY OF FINAL DECISIONS ISSUED BY THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD August 26-30, 2002 (continued)

Date	Type of	Proceeding	Party or	TTAB	Issue	TTAB	Opposer's or Petitioner's	Applicant's or Respondent's	Mark and Goods Cited	Examining	Citable as
Issued	Case(1)	or Appn.	Parties	Panel(2)		Decision	Mark and Goods or	Mark and Goods or	by Examining Attorney	Attorney	Precedent
		No.					Services	Services			of TTAB
8-29	EX	76/016,399	1travel.com,	Cissel	2(d)	Refusal		"ONETRAVEL.COM"	2 cited registrations,	Jackson	No
			Inc.	Seeherman		Affirmed		[travel agency services,	owned by the same		
				Hairston*		as to both		namely, making	entity:		
						classes of		reservations and bookings	"TRAVEL ONE" (and		
						services		for transportation on an	design) [travel agency		
								interactive web site,	services] and		
								including providing and	"TRAVEL ONE"		
								relaying information, and	[travel agency services,		
								securing payment in	namely, making		
								connection with such	reservations and		
								bookings by electronic	bookings for		
								means (in Class 39);	transportation, and		
								making reservations and	travel agency services,		
								bookings for temporary	namely, making		
								lodging on an interactive	reservations and		
								web site, including	bookings for temporary		
								providing and relaying	lodging]		
								information, and securing			
								payment in connection with			
								such bookings by electronic			
								means]			

⁽¹⁾ EX=Ex Parte Appeal; OPP=Opposition; CANC=Cancellation; CU=Concurrent Use; (SJ)=Summary Judgment; (MD)=Motion to Dismiss; (MR)=Motion to Reopen; (R)=Request for Reconsideration

^{(2) *=}Opinion Writer; (D)=Dissenting Panel Member

SUMMARY OF FINAL DECISIONS ISSUED BY THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD August 26-30, 2002 (continued)

Date	Type of	Proceeding	Party or	TTAB	Issue	TTAB	Opposer's or Petitioner's	Applicant's or Respondent's	Mark and Goods Cited	Examining	Citable as
Issued	Case(1)	or Appn.	Parties	Panel(2)		Decision	Mark and Goods or	Mark and Goods or	by Examining Attorney	Attorney	Precedent
		No.					Services	Services			of TTAB
8-30	EX	75/437,901	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.	Seeherman Hohein* Hairston	2(e)(1); whether the matter applicant seeks to register functions as a trademark; whether the drawing of the mark is a substantially exact representa- tion of the mark shown in the specimens of usei.e., whether the specimens demonstrate trademark use of the mark sought to be registered [mutilation]	Refusal Affirmed, but only on 2(e)(1) grounds	Services	"1394" [publication, namely, standard for a high performance serial bus]		Ferraiuolo	No

⁽¹⁾ EX=Ex Parte Appeal; OPP=Opposition; CANC=Cancellation; CU=Concurrent Use; (SJ)=Summary Judgment; (MD)=Motion to Dismiss; (MR)=Motion to Reopen; (R)=Request for Reconsideration

^{(2) *=}Opinion Writer; (D)=Dissenting Panel Member